



ValoFor

Madrid, 28/9/2022

Valorising small scale forestry for a bio-based economy /
<http://www.valoform.si/>

Project acronym: ValoFor

Coordination: Austrian Research Centre for Forests BFW, Austria
Silvio Schueler: silvio.schueler@bfw.gv.at



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 773324

Project partners




- Austrian Research Centre for Forests BFW, Austria
 - Johann Heinrich von Thünen Institute, Germany
 - Umeå University, Sweden
 - Natural Resources Institute Finland, Finland
 - Slovenian Forest Institute, Slovenia
-
- Total project budget: 1,816,100 €
 - Project start and end date: 2020 – 30 September 2022

Introduction

Project objective:

To understand the contribution of small-scale forest owners in the transition to a wood based bio-economy by considering the perception and management strategies of small forest owners.





Introduction

Challenges:



- ***Change of small forest owner structure** and the change of their perception on wood productions and ecosystem services*




- ***The growing timber demand worldwide** and the increasing substitution of fossil raw materials by bio-based agricultural and forest resources*



- ***Increasing risk of climate related calamities** and the required management to adapt forests to climate change*


ForestValue





Results

Survey-results: Value orientation and management activities




- Value orientation varies strongly countries
- Group with „All values very important“ is the most active across countries




Results

Choice experiment: Preferences for contract-based management

- Respondents prefer the conventional system without contract in all countries except Germany
- Contracts that promote environmental goals (Biodiversity, Carbon) were preferred
- Contract that increase wood productions were disliked



ForestValue




- Forest growth and development models to build digital forest twins on basis of forest inventories
- Models deliver 26 ecosystem services

4 Management scenarios:

- ➔ Business as usual
- ➔ High intensity timber production
- ➔ Low intensity timber production
- ➔ Passive Management



Results



Low intensity management practice leads to:

- ▶ wider wood assortment,
- ▶ more biodiversity.

High intensity management practice leads to:

- ▶ thinner wood assortment,
- ▶ less biodiversity.

The presentation of the scenarios above is simplified.





Results

Economic output for small-scale forest owners

Decreasing profitability of small-scale forest owners under low intensity mgmt. due to

- ➔ changing cost structures (i.e. planting of deciduous trees, consulting, protection) and timber harvest
- ➔ changing timber assortments






Results

Multi-criteria assessment

- PCA of ecosystem services across management scenarios revealed 6 principal component
- Tree and stand diversity are not correlated wood volume and carbon storage
- Harvest regimes show very low relationships to diversity and carbon



Principal component	Finland	Sweden	Germany	Austria	Slovenia
Wood volume & C	PC1 (25.4)	PC1 (33.1)	PC1 (21.1)	PC1 (23.2)	PC1 (26.6)
Tree diversity	PC3 (18.4)	PC2 (16.1)	PC2 (19.2)	PC2 (17.8)	PC2 (17.4)
Dead wood volume & C	PC2 (18.5)	-	PC3 (17.9)	PC3 (16.1)	PC3 (15.7)
Deciduous	PC4 (8.9)	PC5 (9.0)	PC4 (11.6)	PC4 (12.1)	PC5 (8.5)
Stand age	PC5 (6.6)	PC4 (10.1)	PC5 (6.3)	PC5 (8.2)	PC4 (8.8)
Harvest & site	PC6 (5.7)	PC3 (10.2)	PC6 (5.0)	PC6 (4.7)	PC6 (4.2)
Cumulative %	83.5	78.5	81.1	82.1	81.3



Summary and impacts

- **Small-scale forest owners (SSFO) undergo substantial societal and environmental changes**
- **SSFO will like experience decreasing profitability especially in Central Europe**
- **Current subsidies do not reach SSFO sufficiently**
- **Many SSFO are open to contract based management to provide ecosystem services**
- **At large-scale: no single management strategy reasonable across Europe or even single countries – even no common understanding of management actions**
- **Future forest will provide a broader range of wood assortments for the industry**
- **Limited synergies among carbon storage and tree diversity across all countries**



Unexpected peculiarities / barriers

- Difficulties to receive data from paid-out forest subsidies even from EAFRD (i.e. in Germany connected to Länder!)
- Difficulties to reach small-scale forest owners itself (Northern Europe 👍, Central Europe 🗨️) – problems for EU surveys
- Translating forest management strategies into particular activities ➡ the same activities might be considered “timber-oriented” or “nature-oriented” in different countries ... Science definitions 👍 but forest owner understanding 🗨️



ForestValue

The value of scientific cooperation

Bioenergy

CLIMATE CRISIS





Adaptation

Rural livelihoods

Mitigation

Bioeconomy

Biodiversity crisis



New policies, i.e. EU Green Deal

Thank you!

ForestValue

Website: <https://forestvalue.org/>

Twitter: <https://twitter.com/ForestValue2017>

LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/groups/12110816/>

ValoFor

ValoFor coordination: Silvio Schöler : silvio.schueler@bfw.gv.at

ValoFor website: <https://valofor.splet.arnes.si/>

ValoFor on Twitter: <https://twitter.com/ValoForP>